

## **Special Issue of Business, Strategy and the Environment**

Call for Papers

**Business, Society, Biodiversity & Natural Capital**

**Deadline 30 June 2020**

*(The Special Issue will be announced in the print version of the July issue of Business Strategy & the Environment)*

### **Guest Editors:**

Delphine Gibassier, Audencia Business School

Karen Maas, Erasmus University Rotterdam and Open University

Stefan Schaltegger, Leuphana University

The planet is facing catastrophic biodiversity loss (Dempsey, 2015; IPBES, 2019). Biologists warn that humanity is causing a modern-day mass extinction event. Biodiversity conservation is essential for sustainable development. The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (UN-SDGs) declare that humanity must 'halt biodiversity loss' by 2030, as it is one of the three out of nine planet boundaries that has been exceeded (Rockström et al., 2009). Between 1970 and 2012, half of the vertebrates on Earth disappeared (WWF, 2014), and species become extinct each year at a rate that is estimated to be between 1,000 and 10,000 times higher than the natural extinction rate (WWF, 2017). As such, we are now facing the sixth period of mass extinction of species (Ceballos et al., 2015).

Biodiversity is therefore a "grand challenge" that is intrinsically woven into other grand challenges such as climate change, deforestation, desertification, resources availability (e.g. see conflicts between biodiversity conservation needs and the mining industry), but also social inequity (biodiversity is often at risk where there is also populations at risk, notably indigenous people), ocean protection, and health issues (for example light pollution affects both human and animals). The SDG 14 and 15 are key stones of the current governance of biodiversity globally and interconnect this issue with the other 15 SDGs.

Research in a number of disciplines, ranging from conservation (Westley & Vredenburg, 1997); (Barnosky et al., 2011); (Howe & Milner-Gulland, 2012), to geography (Dempsey, 2016); (Collard & Dempsey, 2013), policy (Palmer, 2014), governance (Turnhout, Neves, & de Lijster, 2014), have discussed the consequences of biodiversity loss in the past, and its many consequences, such as economic loss and heightened poverty. To halt biodiversity loss and alleviate its effect on our society, requires that the role of business organizations in the loss of biodiversity needs to be researched in more depth (Reade, Goka, Thorp, Mitsuhashi, & Wasbauer, 2014).

Reade and colleagues (2015) further that the complex relationship between global business and biodiversity loss needs to be further investigated. Business organizations are both dependent on, and impacting biodiversity, participating both in its degradation, and facing the consequences of the loss of biodiversity. For example, the disappearance of bees alone could affect 1.4 billion jobs and three quarters of field crops (Potts et al., 2016). More particularly, industries such as the food and beverage industries (Hamann, Smith, Tashman, & Marshall, 2017), the pharmaceutical industry or the natural resources industry (Sharma & Ngan, 1999; Wishart, 2012; Baletti, 2014) have particularly close and complex relationships to "natural capital". Notably, few examples of small firms or more engaged

ones have business models that acknowledge for both their immediate dependence and their impact on nature (Winn & Pogutz, 2013), such as for example Natura, Bodyshop, l'Occitane or Stoneybrook Organic Farm. One must not forget the important issue of ocean stewardship, rarely developed in organizational research since Clausen and Clark (2005).

Yet, organizational researchers have been relatively silent on the role of business organizations in alleviating biodiversity loss. Despite the development of a strand of biodiversity accounting research (Jones, 2014; Cuckston, 2013; Jones & Solomon, 2013; Boiral & Heras-Saizarbitoria, 2017; Atkins & Maroun, 2018; Cuckston, 2018; Gibassier & Arjaliès, 2019), it has not percolated to wider organizational research. Research in management has been concerned with the environment (Aragón-Correa, 1998; Etzion, 2007; Hoffman & Jennings, 2015; Hoffman & Georg, 2018), climate change (Ansari, Gray, & Wijen, 2011; Slawinski & Bansal, 2012; Lefsrud & Meyer, 2012; Howard-Grenville, Buckle, Hoskins, & George, 2014), sometimes water (Mariola, 2011; Martinez, 2015; Baudoïn & Arenas, 2018) but rarely examined the grand challenge of biodiversity loss (see the few exceptions such as (Reade et al., 2015; Winn & Pogutz, 2013). Issues related to sustainability standards (Boiral et al., 2018), eco-labelling (Delmas & Grant, 2014; Delmas & Lessem, 2017) and alternative movements (e.g. the Slow Food movement in van Bommel & Spicer, 2011) have occasionally been touched upon biodiversity, but questions about the broader corporate role in dealing with biodiversity remain unanswered.

Biodiversity can affect firms by influencing their strategic and operational decisions such as what resources that can be sourced, where to implant manufacturing sites and what resources can be traded (Schaltegger & Beständig, 2010; Thompson, 2019). Taken together, organizational studies are essential to contribute to biodiversity remediation and are necessary in order to understand the broad range of its social, public health, and environmental consequences, as well as to understand how such consequences affect business organizations (Reade et al., 2015).

This special issue is based on the premise that a better understanding of the relationship between business and society is possible by examining it within the context of biodiversity loss. Numerous ways exist to explore how firms contribute to biodiversity loss and depend on biodiversity conservation, but a few potential avenues are: strategic business responses to biodiversity loss, natural capital in the supply chain, the role of consumer-choices in alleviating biodiversity loss, entrepreneurship and biodiversity (Schaefer, Corner, & Kearins, 2015), the organizing and accounting of conservation, the financialization of conservation and the governance of conservation. Like Hoffman (2007) and Boiral (2006) who have investigated strategic responses to climate change, there is a need to investigate further how business organizations strategize natural capital (see for example the Natural Capital Protocol and its industry supplements), and to dig into supply chain challenges (Forrer & Mo, 2013). The prospects and limits of eco-consumerism requires further investigation, specifically on biodiversity issues (Dauvergne & Lister, 2010). The role of specific initiatives such as the Forest Disclosure Project could also shed light on business practices in natural capital management and accounting. Moreover, we know little about how conservation is organized, managed and accounted for. An example of research on this topic is the paper by (Perey & Benn, 2015), who explore “the organising narratives that underpin the generation of effective ecological solutions”. Another avenue for research is the financialization of conservation (Mariola, 2011; Tregidga, 2013), which is rapidly taking over as “the only way to slow the decimation of nonhuman life on earth” (Dempsey, 2018), and is defined as the

translation of conservation into “an economically rational—even profitable—set of policies and practices” (Dempsey, 2018). Finally, future research could investigate how biodiversity is governed both at business organization level (Boiral et al. 2019), but also at territorial, national or international levels.

For this special issue, we are interested in a broad range of questions focused on linking business, society, natural capital and biodiversity. Organizational research devoted to examining the role of business organizations in biodiversity loss and biodiversity remediation is emerging, and therefore the questions listed below are merely meant as pointers to further illustrate the vision of the special issue editors. These are neither exhaustive nor comprehensive, and thus any related investigations of the topic will be equally welcome. We welcome all type of theoretical frameworks and research designs.

What is the relationship between biodiversity, natural capital, science, technology, business, and society?

What are the normative foundations of an evaluation of biodiversity and natural capital?

Do business research and practice have a moral imperative to address biodiversity loss?

Who are the stakeholders related to biodiversity loss and biodiversity remediation? How are they being affected and what can they do to address the effects?

How does biodiversity intersect with other sustainability issues in strategic or organizational sustainability governance (e.g. for cities, MNEs, SMEs, territories, nations)?

How does biodiversity loss affect the strategies and performance of organizations?

How is biodiversity embedded in supply chain management efforts?

How is biodiversity operationalized within organizations (including public sector organizations, SMEs etc.)

How is biodiversity a consumer-choice issue and how is it embedded into current eco-labels?

How can biodiversity loss be communicated to stakeholders without being accused of green-washing?

How is natural capital accounted for by different organizations such as governmental bodies, NGOs and business organizations?

How is biodiversity linked to other grand challenges such as climate change, hunger, poverty, water pollution or plastic pollution?

What role did business play in creating, sustaining, or ameliorating biodiversity in a historical perspective?

How does biodiversity loss differ between developed and developing countries and what is the role of business in those different contexts?

Who are the new entrepreneurs of biodiversity?

How is biodiversity “managed” by supranational governance bodies?

Should business play a role in species conservation? If so, how?

What are the institutional arrangements that allow biodiversity loss to happen and how can they be overcome? (e.g. TEEB, the World Forum for Natural Capital, the IPBES...)

## SUBMISSION PROCESS AND DEADLINES

Interested authors are encouraged to submit a 6-page proposal (excluding references and exhibits) to Delphine GIBASSIER (dgibassier@audencia.com) through email by December 31<sup>st</sup> 2019. The guest editors will provide developmental feedback and invite authors of suitable proposals to submit a full paper to the special issue. In addition, potential authors may contact any of the guest editors to discuss initial ideas for papers. While interested authors are encouraged to make use of the guidance of the guest editors before submitting full papers, full papers may be submitted (and will be equally welcomed) even without prior consultation with guest editors.

This special issue of Business, Strategy and the Environment *is in collaboration with the 23<sup>rd</sup> EMAN conference to be held at Prague University on November 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup> 2019.* You will be able to meet the guest editors and discuss your proposals at the conference. However, submissions to this special issue can be made directly without also submitting to the conference.

A special workshop will be organized at Audencia for the special issue beginning of April 2020. More details to come.

*Note: You can submit papers without having submitted to the conference or to the workshop.*

The deadline for submission of all full papers (including papers that received feedback on their proposals) will be **June 30<sup>th</sup> 2020**. Authors should submit their manuscripts via email to the co-guest editor D.GIBASSIER (dgibassier@audencia.com).

Manuscripts should be sent to co-guest editor Delphine Gibassier and should be prepared following the Business, Strategy and the Environment author guidelines: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/page/journal/10990836/homepage/forauthors.html>. All articles will be subjected to double-blind peer review and editorial process in accordance with the policies of Business, Strategy and the Environment (<https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/journal/10990836>).

## References

- Ansari, S. (Shaz), Gray, B., & Wijen, F. (2011). Fiddling while the ice melts? How organizational scholars can take a more active role in the climate change debate. *Strategic Organization*, 9, 70–76.
- Aragón-Correa, J. A. (1998). Strategic Proactivity and Firm Approach to the Natural Environment. *Academy of Management Journal*, 41, 556–567.
- Atkins, J., & Maroun, W. (2018). Integrated extinction accounting and accountability: building an ark. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 31, 750–786.
- Baletti, B. (2014). Saving the Amazon? Sustainable Soy and the New Extractivism. *Environment and Planning A*, 46, 5–25.
- Barnosky, A. D., Matzke, N., Tomiya, S., Wogan, G. O. U., Swartz, B., Quental, T. B., ... Ferrer, E. A. (2011). Has the Earth's sixth mass extinction already arrived? *Nature*, 471, 51.
- Baudoin, L., & Arenas, D. (2018). From Raindrops to a Common Stream: Using the Social-Ecological Systems Framework for Research on Sustainable Water Management. *Organization & Environment*, online.

- Boiral, O. (2006). Global Warming: Should Companies Adopt a Proactive Strategy? *Long Range Planning*, 39, 315–330.
- Boiral, O., & Heras-Saizarbitoria, I. (2017). Managing Biodiversity Through Stakeholder Involvement: Why, Who, and for What Initiatives? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 140, 403–421.
- Boiral, O., Heras-Saizarbitoria, I. and Brotherton, M-C. (2018). Corporate Biodiversity Management Through Certifiable Standards. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 27(3).
- Boiral, O., Heras-Saizarbitoria, I. and Brotherton, M-C. (2019). Improving corporate biodiversity management through employee involvement. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, online.
- Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., Barnosky, A. D., Garcia, A., Pringle, R. M., & Palmer, T. M. (2015). Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. *Science Advances*, 1.
- Clausen, R., & Clark, B. (2005). The Metabolic Rift and Marine Ecology: An Analysis of the Ocean Crisis Within Capitalist Production. *Organization & Environment*, 18, 422–444.
- Collard, R.-C., & Dempsey, J. (2013). Life for Sale? The Politics of Lively Commodities. *Environment and Planning A*, 45, 2682–2699.
- Cuckston, T. (2013). Bringing tropical forest biodiversity conservation into financial accounting calculation. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 26, 688–714.
- Cuckston, T. (2018). Making extinction calculable. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 31, 849–874.
- Dauvergne, P., & Lister, J. (2010). The Prospects and Limits of Eco-Consumerism: Shopping Our Way to Less Deforestation? *Organization & Environment*, 23, 132–154.
- Delmas, M. A., & Grant, L. E. (2014). Eco-Labeling Strategies and Price-Premium: The Wine Industry Puzzle. *Business & Society*, 53, 6–44.
- Delmas, M. A., & Lessem, N. (2017). Eco-Premium or Eco-Penalty? Eco-Labels and Quality in the Organic Wine Market. *Business & Society*, 56, 318–356.
- Dempsey, J. (2015). Fixing biodiversity loss. *Environment and Planning A*, 47, 2555–2572.
- Dempsey, J. (2016). *Enterprising nature: economics, markets, and finance in global biodiversity politics*. Chichester, UK ; Hoboken, NJ: WILEY Blackwell.
- Dempsey, J. (2018). Jessica Dempsey's Blog - Introduction. Retrieved October 9, 2018, from <https://blogs.ubc.ca/jdempsey/>
- Etzion, D. (2007). Research on Organizations and the Natural Environment, 1992 -Present: A Review. *Journal of Management*, 33, 637–664.
- Forrer, J., & Mo, K. (2013). From Certification to Supply Chain Strategy: An Analytical Framework for Enhancing Tropical Forest Governance. *Organization & Environment*, 2, 260–280.
- Gibassier, D., & Arjaliès, D.-L. (2019). Can Financialization Save Nature(s)? The Case of Endangered Species. Working Paper.
- Hamann, R., Smith, J., Tashman, P., & Marshall, R. S. (2017). Why Do SMEs Go Green? An Analysis of Wine Firms in South Africa. *Business & Society*, 56, 23–56.
- Hoffman, A. J. (2007). *Carbon strategies: how leading companies are reducing their climate change footprint*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Hoffman, A. J., & Georg, S. (2018). *Business and the natural environment: a research overview (First Edition)*. London ; New York: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Hoffman, A. J., & Jennings, P. D. (2015). Institutional Theory and the Natural Environment: Research in (and on) the Anthropocene. *Organization & Environment*, 28, 8–31.
- Howard-Grenville, J., Buckle, S. J., Hoskins, B. J., & George, G. (2014). Climate Change and Management. *Academy of Management Journal*, 57, 615–623.

- Howe, C., & Milner-Gulland, E. J. (2012). Evaluating indices of conservation success: a comparative analysis of outcome- and output-based indices: Comparative analysis of indices of conservation success. *Animal Conservation*, *15*, 217–226.
- IPBES (2019), IPBES Global Assessment Summary for Policymakers. Available at: [www.ipbes.net](http://www.ipbes.net)
- Jones, M. (Ed.). (2014). *Accounting for biodiversity*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Jones, M. J., & Solomon, J. F. (2013). Problematising accounting for biodiversity. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *26*, 668–687.
- Lefsrud, L. M., & Meyer, R. E. (2012). Science or Science Fiction? Professionals' Discursive Construction of Climate Change. *Organization Studies*, *33*, 1477–1506.
- Mariola, M. J. (2011). The Commodification of Pollution and a Preemptive Double Movement in Environmental Governance: The Case of Water Quality Trading. *Organization & Environment*, *24*, 231–248.
- Martinez, F. (2015). A Three-Dimensional Conceptual Framework of Corporate Water Responsibility. *Organization & Environment*, *28*, 137–159.
- Palmer, J. R. (2014). Biofuels and the Politics of Land-Use Change: Tracing the Interactions of Discourse and Place in European Policy Making. *Environment and Planning A*, *46*, 337–352.
- Perey, R., & Benn, S. (2015). Organising for Ecological Repair: Reconstructing Land Management Practice. *Organization & Environment*, *28*, 458–477.
- Potts, S. G., Imperatriz-Fonseca, V., Ngo, H. T., Aizen, M. A., Biesmeijer, J. C., Breeze, T. D., ... Vanbergen, A. J. (2016). Safeguarding pollinators and their values to human well-being. *Nature*, *540*, 220.
- Reade, C., Goka, K., Thorp, R., Mitsuhashi, M., & Wasbauer, M. (2014). CSR, Biodiversity and Japan's Stakeholder Approach to the Global Bumble Bee Trade. *Journal of Corporate Citizenship*, *2014*, 53–66.
- Reade, C., Thorp, R., Goka, K., Wasbauer, M., & McKenna, M. (2015). Invisible Compromises: Global Business, Local Ecosystems, and the Commercial Bumble Bee Trade. *Organization & Environment*, *28*, 436–457.
- Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, Å., Chapin, F. S. I., Lambin, E., ... Foley, J. (2009). Planetary Boundaries: Exploring the Safe Operating Space for Humanity. *Ecology and Society*, *14*.
- Schaefer, K., Corner, P. D., & Kearins, K. (2015). Social, Environmental and Sustainable Entrepreneurship Research: What Is Needed for Sustainability-as-Flourishing? *Organization & Environment*, *28*, 394–413.
- Schaltegger, S. & Beständig, U. (2010). *Corporate Biodiversity Management Handbook. A Guide for Practical Implementation*, Berlin/Eschborn/Lüneburg: Bundesumweltministerium (German Federal Ministry for the Environment) (Ed.)/GTZ/CSM-Leuphana University Lüneburg.
- Sharma, S. & Nguan, O. (1999). The biotechnology industry and strategies of biodiversity conservation: the influence of managerial interpretations and risk propensity. *Business, Strategy and the Environment*, *8*(1).
- Slawinski, N., & Bansal, P. (2012). A Matter of Time: The Temporal Perspectives of Organizational Responses to Climate Change. *Organization Studies*, *33*, 1537–1563.
- Thompson, B.S. (2019). Payments for ecosystem services and corporate social responsibility: perspectives on sustainable production, stakeholder relations, and philanthropy in Thailand. *Business, Strategy and the Environment*, *28*(4).
- Tregidga, H. (2013). Biodiversity offsetting: problematisation of an emerging governance regime. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *26*, 806–832.

- Turnhout, E., Neves, K., & de Lijster, E. (2014). 'Measurementality' in Biodiversity Governance: Knowledge, Transparency, and the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (Ipbes). *Environment and Planning A*, 46, 581–597.
- van Bommel, K., & Spicer, A. (2011). Hail the Snail: Hegemonic Struggles in the Slow Food Movement. *Organization Studies*, 32, 1717–1744.
- Westley, F., & Vredenburg, H. (1997). Interorganizational Collaboration and the Preservation of Global Biodiversity. *Organization Science*, 8, 381–403.
- Winn, M. I., & Pogutz, S. (2013). Business, Ecosystems, and Biodiversity: New Horizons for Management Research. *Organization & Environment*, 26(2), 203–229.
- Wishart, R. (2012). Coal River's Last Mountain: King Coal's Après moi le déluge. *Organization & Environment*, 25, 470–485.
- WWF. (2014). The Living Planet Report. Gland: WWF.
- WWF. (2017). How many species are we losing? Gland: WWF. Retrieved October 9, 2018, from [http://wwf.panda.org/our\\_work/biodiversity/biodiversity/](http://wwf.panda.org/our_work/biodiversity/biodiversity/)